Faculty/Staff Opinion Survey Spring 2015

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Business Office Services	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of Business Office services provided	32	29.4	33	30.3	5	4.6	2	1.8	0	0.0	37	33.9	109	97.2
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Business Office personnel	31	28.4	32	29.4	4	3.7	1	0.9	0	0.0	41	37.6	109	98.5

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	satisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Central Stores	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of Central Store services provided	33	30.3	30	27.5	4	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	38.5	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Central	35	32.1	22	20.2	4	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	48	44.0	109	100.0
Store personnel														

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Purchasing Department	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of Purchasing Office services provided.	30	27.5	30	27.5	7	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	38.5	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of	33	30.3	26	23.9	3	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	43.1	109	100.0
Purchasing personnel														

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	A	Total	% Not
Payment Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of Payment Center services provided.	26	23.9	25	22.9	7	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	46.8	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Payment Center personnel	28	25.7	22	20.2	5	4.6	1	0.9	0	0.0	53	48.6	109	98.2

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Human Resources	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality and efficiency of employee benefits enrollment process and payroll services	41	37.6	42	38.5	11	10.1	4	3.7	0	0.0	11	10.1	109	95.9
Communication of College policies and revisions	32	29.4	49	45.0	11	10.1	7	6.4	1	0.9	9	8.3	109	92.0
Quality of staffing practices, including recruiting, hiring, and orienting employees	28	25.7	46	42.2	14	12.8	7	6.4	1	0.9	13	11.9	109	91.7
Satisfaction with the online features like ERS Online, United Health Care Access, HealthSelect Personal Health Manager, or the TRS member web site	31	28.4	42	38.5	15	13.8	3	2.8	2	1.8	16	14.7	109	94.6
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Human Resources personnel	56	51.4	38	34.9	4	3.7	2	1.8	0	0.0	9	8.3	109	98.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Student Employment	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
General office and computer skills of student workers employed in your area	20	18.3	25	22.9	9	8.3	1	0.9	0	0.0	54	49.5	109	98.2
Budget reports by Student Employment Coordinator	7	6.4	12	11.0	9	9.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	81	74.3	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Student Employment Coordinator personnel	22	20.2	18	16.5	7	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	56.9	109	100.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
The Cove/Subway	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of products and services provided	13	11.9	43	39.4	11	10.1	4	3.7	4	3.7	34	31.2	109	89.3
Variety of Subway menu items	15	13.8	42	38.5	9	8.3	4	3.7	4	3.7	35	32.1	109	89.2
Affordability of Subway menu items	15	13.8	44	40.4	9	8.3	4	3.7	2	1.8	35	32.1	109	91.9
Variety/availability of items in the Cove	10	9.2	34	31.2	15	13.8	11	10.1	2	1.8	37	33.9	109	81.9
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Cove/Subway personnel	18	16.5	35	32.1	9	8.3	7	6.4	5	4.6	35	32.1	109	83.8

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	satisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Café Espresso	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of products and services provided	9	8.3	28	25.7	4	3.7	3	2.8	0	0.0	65	59.6	109	93.2
Affordability of Café Expresso menu items	8	7.3	23	21.1	10	9.2	2	1.8	0	0.0	66	60.6	109	95.3
Variety/availability of items in the Café	7	6.4	22	20.2	11	10.1	2	1.8	1	0.9	66	60.6	109	93.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Café Espresso personnel	13	11.9	23	21.1	8	7.3	1	0.9	1	0.9	63	57.8	109	95.7

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	satisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
The Grind	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality of products and services provided	13	11.9	20	18.3	9	8.3	2	1.8	0	0.0	65	59.6	109	95.5
Affordability of Grind menu items	12	11.0	18	16.5	9	8.3	2	1.8	3	2.8	65	59.6	109	88.6
Variety/availability of items in the Grind	11	10.1	19	17.4	9	8.3	4	3.7	1	0.9	65	59.6	109	88.6
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Grind personnel	19	17.4	18	16.5	7	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	59.6	109	100.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss	satisfied	Very [Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
Physical Plant	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Exterior condition/appearance of buildings and of grounds	46	42.2	45	41.3	4	3.7	2	1.8	0	0.0	12	11.0	109	97.9
Interior cleanliness and order of buildings and/or classrooms	48	44.0	41	37.6	4	3.7	3	2.8	0	0.0	13	11.9	109	96.9
Overall quality of Physical Plant services provided	54	49.5	38	34.9	3	2.8	1	0.9	0	0.0	13	11.9	109	99.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Physical Plant personnel	63	57.8	26	23.9	3	2.8	2	1.8	0	0.0	15	13.8	109	97.9

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	eutral	Diss	atisfied	Very I	Dissatisfied	N/	Α	Total	% Not
College Bookstore	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Hours of operation	30	27.5	35	32.1	6	5.5	1	0.9	0	0.0	37	33.9	109	100.0
Quality/timeliness of communication regarding bookstore requests(textbook adoptions, graduation regalia, etc.	34	31.2	31	28.4	4	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	36.7	109	100.0
Accessibility of book information on bookstore website	30	27.5	22	20.2	4	3.7	1	0.9	0	0.0	52	47.7	109	98.2
Quality/timeliness of response to bookstore related requests	33	30.3	25	22.9	5	4.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	42.2	109	100.0
Process for submitting textbook adoption form	24	22.0	21	19.3	5	4.6	2	1.8	0	0.0	57	52.3	109	96.2
Overall quality of services provided	37	33.9	35	32.1	7	6.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	30	27.5	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of personnel	45	41.3	30	27.5	5	4.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	29	26.6	109	100.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Diss		Very Dissa		N/	A	Total	% Not
Security	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Personal security/safety at the College.	46	42.2	42	38.5	9	8.3	2	1.8	0	0.0	10	9.2	109	98.0
Visibility of Security personnel in parking areas and buildings	43	39.4	35	32.1	14	12.8	7	6.4	1	0.9	9	8.3	109	92.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Security personnel	50	45.9	37	33.9	9	8.3	2	1.8	0	0.0	11	10.1	109	98.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sati	sfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very D	vissatisfied	N/A	Total		% Not Dissatisfied*
Technology Services	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Overall Technology Services maintenance request process and timeliness of response	62	56.9	31	28.4	6	5.5	2	1.8	0	0.0	8	7.3	109	98.0
Ability of Technology Services to diagnose problem and quality of hardware/software support	60	55.0	33	30.3	5	4.6	1	0.9	1	0.9	9	8.3	109	98.0
E-mail services for faculty and staff	62	56.9	32	29.4	2	1.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	13	11.9	109	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Technology Services personnel	72	65.5	24	21.8	2	1.8	0	0.0	2	1.8	10	9.1	110	98.0

ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sati	sfied	Ne	utral	Diss	atisfied	Very D	issatisfied	N/A	١	Total	% Not
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
OVERALL QUALITY OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES PROVIDED	44	40.4	49	45.0	6	5.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	10	9.2	109	100.0

Special Projects

SPECIAL PROJECTS	Very Satisf	ied	Satis	fied	N I	eutra	Diss	atisfied	Very	Dissatisfied	N/A	l		% Not Dissatisfied*
	#	% #		%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality/timeliness of communication regarding construction, and/or other projects	14	13.0	29	26.9	1 1	10.2	6	5.6	1	0.9	47	43.5	108	88.5
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Special Projects Director	16	14.8	32	29.6	7	6.5	6	5.6	1	0.9	46	42.6	108	88.7

Institutional Effectiveness, Research and Assessment

INSTITUTIONAL EFFECTIVENESS, RESEARCH AND	Very Satisf	ied	Satis	fied	Ne	utral	Dissa	tisfied	Very	Dissatisfied	N/A	1	Total	% Not
ASSESSMENT	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	Dissatisfied*
Quality, accuracy, and usefulness of reports/publications	27	25.7	29	27.6	14	13.3	4	3.8	1	1.0	30	28.6	105	93.3
Accessibility of information on web site	21	19.4	38	35.2	16	14.8	1	0.9	0	0.0	32	29.6	108	98.7
Quality/timeliness of response to data requests	26	24.1	32	29.6	8	7.4	1	0.9	1	0.9	40	37.0	108	97.1
Support of college-wide and unit-level planning and assessment	25	23.1	32	29.6	11	10.2	1	0.9	4	3.7	35	32.4	108	93.2
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of IERA personnel	38	35.2	36	33.3	6	5.6	1	0.9	0	0.0	27	25.0	108	98.8

Institutional Advancement and External

Affairs

Institutional Advancement and External Affairs	Very Sa	tisfied	Satisf	ied	Neut	ral	Dissa	atisfied	Very Dissa	atisfied	N/A		Total	% Not Dissatisfied*
Marketing/Public Information	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Accuracy, timeliness, and availability of VC information throughout campus	35	32.7	40	37.4	17	15.9	4	3.7	1	0.9	10	9.3	107	94.8
Accuracy, timeliness, and availability of VC information throughout the VC web site	30	28.0	36	33.6	12	11.2	15	14.0	2	1.9	12	11.2	107	82.1
Quality of VC web site	23	21.5	40	37.4	20	18.7	10	9.3	3	2.8	11	10.3	107	86.5
Process for producing Victoria College course catalog and other publications	27	25.2	37	34.6	10	9.3	5	4.7	0	0.0	28	26.2	107	93.7
Accuracy, timeliness, and usefulness of information provided in the weekly News Flush bulletin	36	33.6	44	41.1	7	6.5	5	4.7	2	1.9	13	12.1	107	92.6
Process for submitting internal marketing requests and the response to such submissions.	29	27.1	39	36.4	9	8.4	3	2.8	0	0.0	27	25.2	107	96.3
Routine and regularly scheduled appearances of VC- related information in local media	27	25.2	42	39.3	12	11.2	6	5.6	2	1.9	18	16.8	107	91.0
Overall quality of services provided	33	30.8	43	40.2	12	11.2	3	2.8	1	0.9	15	14.0	107	95.7
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of Marketing personnel	48	44.9	36	33.6	4	3.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	17	15.9	107	97.8

Institutional Advancement and External Affairs	Very Sat	isfied	Satisfi	ed	Neutr	al	Dissa	tisfied	-	tisfied	N/A		Total	% Not Dissatisfied*
Call Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Hours of operation	34	31.8	36	33.6	7	6.5	1	0.9	0	0.0	29	27.1	107	98.7
Overall quality of services provided	42	39.3	33	30.8	2	1.9	2	1.9	0	0.0	28	26.2	107	97.5
Accuracy and timeliness for producing and distributing the internal phone directory and organizational charts	42	39.3	37	34.6	4	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	22.4	107	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Call Center personnel	48	44.9	32	29.9	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	23.4	107	100.0

Institutional Advancement and External Affairs	Very Satisf	ied	Satis	fied	Neutr	al	Dissatis	sfied	Very		N/A		Tota	% Not
									Dissatis	fied			I	Dissatisfied
Printing & Mailroom Services	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	*
Quality of materials produced	48	44.9	29	27.1	4	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	24.3	107	100.0
Variety of services provided	47	43.9	31	29.0	3	2.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	24.3	107	100.0
Hours of operation	44	41.1	33	30.8	5	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	25	23.4	107	100.0
Process for submitting print requests and the	46	43.0	25	23.4	5	4.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	29	27.1	107	97.4
response to such submissions														
Quality of assistance of personnel	47	43.9	29	27.1	5	4.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	26	24.3	107	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of	50	46.7	27	25.2	6	5.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	24	22.4	107	100.0
Print & Graphics personnel														

Institutional Advancement and External Affairs	Very Satis	fied	Satis	fied	Neuti	al	Dissati		Very Dissatis	fied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied
Grant Development	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	*
Clarity of communication of grant development and post-award procedures	15	14.3	18	17.1	8	7.6	4	3.8	0	0.0	60	57.1	105	91.1
Relevance and timeliness of assistance with funding opportunity information, proposal development, and post-award procedures	13	12.4	17	16.2	9	8.6	5	4.8	0	0.0	61	58.1	105	88.6
Quantity and quality of grant development training activities	12	11.4	13	12.4	5	4.8	4	3.8	1	1.0	70	66.7	105	85.7
Overall quality of services provided	12	11.4	20	19.0	7	6.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	64	61.0	105	95.1
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of Grants Development personnel	24	22.9	15	14.3	7	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	59	56.2	105	100.0

Institutional Advancement and External Affairs	Very Sat	isfied	Satis	fied	Neutra	I	Dissat	tisfied			N/A			% Not
		-				-		-	Dissatis	sfied			I	Dissatisfied
VC Foundation	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	*
Overall quality of services provided	28	26.7	23	21.9	3	2.9	1	1.0	0	0.0	50	47.6	105	98.2
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of Institutional Advancement Office personnel	33	22.0	20	13.3	48	32.0	1	0.7	0	0.0	48	32.0	105	99.0
Overall Quality of communication/Cooperation between Institutional Advancement & External Affairs with the rest of the college	28	26.7	32	30.5	7	6.7	3	2.9	0	0.0	35	33.3	105	95.7

Student Services

STUDENT SERVICES	Very Sat	isfied	Satis	fied	Neutr	al	Dissat		Very Dissatisf		N/A			% Not Dissatisfied
Admissions and Records	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	*
Overall registration process	19	18.1	25	23.8	12	11.4	3	2.9	0	0.0	46	43.8	105	94.9
Ease and convenience for submitting forms (grade changes, course substitutions, etc.)	20	19.0	26	24.8	8	7.6	3	2.9	0	0.0	48	45.7	105	94.7
Quality and timeliness of information provided by staff	21	20.0	29	27.6	8	7.6	5	4.8	0	0.0	42	40.0	105	92.1
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Admissions and Records/Welcome Center personnel	29	27.6	29	27.6	8	7.6	1	1.0	0	0.0	38	36.2	105	98.5

STUDENT SERVICES	Very Sati	sfied	Satis	fied	Neutr	al	Dissat		Very Dissatisf		N/A			% Not Dissatisfied
Advising and Counseling Services	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	*
Quality of faculty advisors update sessions	18	17.1	29	27.6	10	9.5	5	4.8	1	1.0	42	40.0	105	90.5
Quality/adequacy and timeliness of information provided by advisors for students with disabilities	25	23.8	27	25.7	9	8.6	1	1.0	0	0.0	43	41.0	105	98.4
Advising for course selection	18	17.1	26	24.8	8	7.6	12	11.4	4	3.8	37	35.2	105	76.5
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Advising and Counseling Services personnel	32	30.5	30	28.6	6	5.7	2	1.9	2	1.9	33	31.4	105	94.4
Overall satisfaction with substitute Workshop Services	18	17.1	23	21.9	6	5.7	1	1.0	0	0.0	57	54.3	105	97.9
Ease and convenience of submitting Instructor Withdraw Forms	24	22.9	25	23.8	5	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	51	48.6	105	100.0

STUDENT SERVICES	Yes		No		Not	t Used	Total
Advising and Counseling Services	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Have you referred students to the Annual Career Fair and its associated workshops (Interviewing Skills, Resume Writing)?	42	40.0	21	20.0	42	40.0	105
Have you utilized the Substitute Workshop opportunities offered by the Advising & Counseling Services Office?	20	19.0	35	33.3	50	47.6	105
Have you referred students to the Student Success Workshops (Time Management, Degree Planning, Conquering Math Anxiety, etc.)?	38	36.2	21	20.0	46	43.8	105

STUDENT SERVICES Financial Aid	Very Satis		Sati	sfied	Neu	ıtral	Diss	atisfied	-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality and usefulness of financial aid and scholarship information on VC web site	21	20.0	31	29.5	7	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	46	43.8	105	100.0
Availability of office staff	26	24.8	29	27.6	4	3.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	45	42.9	105	98.3
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Child Care Coordinator personnel	22	21.0	17	16.2	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	59.0	105	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Financial Aid personnel	30	28.6	30	28.6	3	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	42	40.0	105	100.0

	Very Satis		Sati	sfied	Neu	tral	Diss	atisfied	-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Student Activities and Student Center Operations	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
As a club advisor, quality of interaction with Director	11	10.5	14	13.3	3	2.9	2	1.9	1	1.0	74	70.5	105	90.3
Room reservation procedures and setups including equipment	22	21.0	20	19.0	13	12.4	2	1.9	1	1.0	47	44.8	105	94.8
Overall professionalism of Student Activities and Student Center Operations personnel	33	31.4	26	24.8	8	7.6	1	1.0	1	1.0	36	34.3	105	97.1

STUDENT SERVICES	Very Satis		Sati	sfied	Neu	utral	Diss	atisfied		tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Testing	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between Test Center and faculty	26	24.8	21	20.0	9	8.6	3	2.9	0	0.0	46	43.8	105	94.9
Quality of communication between Testing Center and faculty regarding availability of online class testing	25	23.8	20	19.0	5	4.8	2	1.9	0	0.0	53	50.5	105	96.2
Convenience of meeting testing needs	23	21.9	21	20.0	5	4.8	4	3.8	0	0.0	52	49.5	105	92.5
Method of registering online for DET, VCT/Department exam and TSI Assessment	16	15.2	16	15.2	4	3.8	3	2.9	0	0.0	66	62.9	105	92.3
courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Testing Center personnel	30	28.6	24	22.9	6	5.7	1	1.0	2	1.9	42	40.0	105	95.2

STUDENT SERVICES	Yes		No		Not	Used	Total
Testing	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Do you anticipate increasing the number of exams you have administered by the testing center in the next academic year?	14	13.3	28	26.7	63	60.0	105

STUDENT SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dissat		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Tutoring	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality (knowledge) of tutors	18	17.1	20	19.0	4	3.8	4	3.8	0	0.0	59	56.2	105	91.3
Academic progress of students who have utilized tutoring	19	18.1	17	16.2	4	3.8	2	1.9	0	0.0	63	60.0	105	95.2
Efforts of Tutoring Coordinator to work with faculty	16	15.2	14	13.3	8	7.6	2	1.9	0	0.0	65	61.9	105	95.0
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Tutor Center personnel	23	21.9	21	20.0	3	2.9	1	1.0	0	0.0	57	54.3	105	97.9

			No		110	i Useu	Total
Tutoring	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Do you take advantage of opportunities listed in the Faculty Brochure to increase awareness of Tutoring Services?	23	21.9	12	11.4	70	66.7	105

STUDENT SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	tisfied	Ne	utral	Dissat		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Supplemental Instruction	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality (knowledge) of Supplemental Instruction leaders	3	2.9	13	12.4	1	1.0	0	0.0	1	1.0	87	82.9	105	94.4
Academic progress of students who have utilized Supplemental Instruction	5	4.8	11	10.5	2	1.9	0	0.0	1	1.0	86	81.9	105	94.7
Efforts of Supplemental Instruction Coordinator to work with faculty	4	3.8	10	9.5	1	1.0	1	1.0	0	0.0	89	84.8	105	93.8
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of Supplemental Instruction personnel	6	5.7	16	15.2	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	82	78.1	105	100.0

STUDENT SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dissati	sfied	Very		N/A		Total	% Not
									Dissa	tisfied				Dissatisfied*
Pre-College Programs and Recruitment	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of coordination of fall/spring VC Information Night	19	18.1	19	18.1	10	9.5	3	2.9	1	1.0	53	50.5	105	92.3
Efforts of staff to work with faculty to coordinate dual credit	15	14.3	17	16.2	7	6.7	3	2.9	0	0.0	63	60.0	105	92.9
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of Pre-College Programs and Recruitment personnel	26	24.8	23	21.9	7	6.7	2	1.9	1	1.0	46	43.8	105	94.9

STUDENT SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dissatis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
TRiO Student Support Services KEY Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Availability of information regarding TRiO Center-Support Programs	19	18.1	15	14.3	4	3.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	66	62.9	105	97.4
Academic progress of students who have utilized TRiO center	18	17.1	12	11.4	4	3.8	2	1.9	0	0.0	69	65.7	105	94.4
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of TRiO Center personnel	26	24.8	16	15.2	4	3.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	58	55.2	105	97.9

STUDENT SERVICES	Yes		No	1	No	t Used	Total
TRiO Student Support Services KEY Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Have you referred students to the Trio Center-Student Support Services Program	31	29.5	23	21.9	51	48.6	105

STUDENT SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dissatis		- /	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
OVERALL QUALITY OF PROGRAMS/SERVICES PROVIDED BY STUDENT SERVICES	27	25.7	38	36.2	13	12.4	0	0.0	0	0.0	27	25.7	105	100.0

Instructional Services

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Neu	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Academic Foundations Division	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between the Academic Foundations Division and the rest of the College	19	18.1	19	18.1	8	7.6	4	3.8	2	1.9	53	50.5	105	88.5
Timeliness of information/ communication between the Academic Foundations Division and the rest of the College	16	15.2	21	20.0	10	9.5	3	2.9	1	1.0	54	51.4	105	92.2

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Neu	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Arts, Humanities and Social Science (AHS) Division	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between the AHS Division and the rest of the College	17	16.2	26	24.8	6	5.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	54	51.4	105	96.1
Timeliness of information/ communication between the AHS Division and the rest of the College	16	15.2	25	23.8	5	4.8	2	1.9	0	0.0	57	54.3	105	95.8

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Career, Health and Technical Professions (CHT) Division	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between the CHT Division and the rest of the College	18	17.1	27	25.7	6	5.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	52	49.5	105	96.2
Timeliness of information/ communication between the CHT Division and the rest of the College	15	14.3	27	25.7	6	5.7	3	2.9	1	1.0	53	50.5	105	92.3

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Science and Math Division	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between the SM Division and the rest of the College	17	16.2	26	24.8	6	5.7	1	1.0	0	0.0	55	52.4	105	98.0
Timeliness of information/ communication between the SM Division and the rest of the College	17	16.2	24	22.9	4	3.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	59	56.2	105	97.8

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Workforce and Continuing Education Division (CE)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between CE Division and the rest of the College	22	21.0	28	26.7	7	6.7	1	1.0	0	0.0	47	44.8	105	98.3
Timeliness of information/ communication between CE Division and the rest of the College	21	20.0	26	24.8	9	8.6	1	1.0	0	0.0	48	45.7	105	98.2

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	utral	Dis		-	tisfied	N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
Library	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%		%	#	%	#	Dissatistied
Quality of cooperation between the Library and the rest of the College	25	23.8	35	33.3	10	9.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	35	33.3	105	100.0
Timeliness of information/ communication between the Library and the rest of the College	21	20.0	37	35.2	7	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	40	38.1	105	100.0
Quality of library instruction for your classes (class tours, specialized instruction, etc.)	13	12.4	23	21.9	7	6.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	62	59.0	105	100.0
Quality of library collection in relation to your academic discipline.	18	17.1	24	22.9	8	7.6	0	0.0	2	1.9	53	50.5	105	96.2
Availability of online resources in your discipline.	16	15.2	26	24.8	7	6.7	1	1.0	1	1.0	54	51.4	105	96.1
Courtesy and helpfulness of Library staff	41	39.0	31	29.5	5	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	28	26.7	105	100.0

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	N	eutra	l Dis	satisfied	Very		N/A		Tota	% Not
									Dissa	atisfied				Dissatisfied*
Distance Education	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Number of Distance Education training opportunities that were applicable to my skill level and/or to my job requirement	9	8.6	10	9.5	5	4.8	5	4.8	1	1.0	75	71.4	105	80.0
Availability of Distance Education personnel for 1 on 1 training sessions	10	9.5	11	10.5	4	3.8	1	1.0	0	0.0	79	75.2	105	96.2
Quality of ITV class support	9	8.6	10	9.5	3	2.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	79.0	105	100.0
Quality of assistance from Distance Education personnel	12	11.4	13	12.4	5	4.8	0	0.0	1	1.0	74	70.5	105	96.8
Quality and overall usefulness of the Victoria College Learning Management System (Blackboard)	9	8.6	14	13.3	8	7.6	2	1.9	0	0.0	72	68.6	105	93.9
Blackboard integration with Banner	7	6.7	12	11.4	6	5.7	2	1.9	2	1.9	76	72.4	105	86.2
Other than the regularly scheduled maintenance hour, amount of time Blackboard system is available	10	9.5	17	16.2	6	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	72	68.6	105	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism and/or cooperation of personnel	19	18.1	17	16.2	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	65	61.9	105	100.0

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	Satisfied	Sat	isfied	Ne	eutral	Dis	satisfied	Very		N/A		Total	% Not
									Dissa	tisfied				Dissatisfied*
Gonzales Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between Gonzales Center and the rest of the college	20	19.0	26	24.8	2	1.9	1	1.0	0	0.0	56	53.3	105	98.0
Timeliness of information/communication between the Gonzales Center and the rest of the College	20	19.0	22	21.0	2	1.9	1	1.0	0	0.0	60	57.1	105	97.8
Ability to assist with admission to VC registration for course, financial aid application, payment of fees, academic counseling, technology and computer issues	13	12.4	19	18.1	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	72	68.6	105	100.0
Tutoring at the Gonzales Center	8	7.6	12	11.4	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	79.0	105	100.0
The hours the tutoring center is available at the Gonzales Center	8	7.6	12	11.4	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	83	79.0	105	100.0
The quality of tutors at the Gonzales tutoring center	7	6.7	12	11.4	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	84	80.0	105	100.0
Testing at the Gonzales Center	9	8.6	16	15.2	2	1.9	0	0.0	0	0.0	78	74.3	105	100.0
Knowledge/helpfulness of Gonzales Center staff in assisting with ITV courses	13	12.4	16	15.2	1	1.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	75	71.4	105	100.0

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very		Satis	sfied	Ne	utral	Dissa	tisfied	Very		N/A		Total	% Not
	Satis	fied							Dissati	sfied				Dissatisfied*
Calhoun County Center	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality of cooperation between Calhoun County Center and	5	4.8	12	11.4	5	4.8	4	3.8	0	0.0	79	75.2	105	84.6
the rest of the college														
Timeliness of information/communication between the	6	5.7	11	10.5	6	5.7	1	1.0	0	0.0	81	77.1	105	95.8
Calhoun County Center and the rest of the College														
Ability to assist with admission to VC registration for course,	3	2.9	5	4.8	6	5.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	91	86.7	105	100.0
financial aid application, payment of fees, academic														
counseling, technology and computer issues														
Tutoring at the Calhoun County Center	2	1.9	6	5.7	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	93	88.6	105	100.0
The hours the tutoring center is available at the Calhoun	1	1.0	7	6.7	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	93	88.6	105	100.0
County Center														
The quality of tutors at the Calhoun County tutoring center	1	1.0	6	5.7	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	94	89.5	105	100.0
Testing at the Calhoun County Center	3	2.9	9	8.6	4	3.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	89	84.8	105	100.0
Knowledge/helpfulness of Calhoun County Center staff in	6	5.7	6	5.7	3	2.9	1	1.0	0	0.0	89	84.8	105	93.8
assisting with ITV courses														

	Very		Satis	fied	Nei	utral	Dissat	tisfied	-		N/A			% Not
	Satis	fied							Dissatis	sfied				Dissatisfied*
Computer Information System (Banner Support Group)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Overall CIS service support request process and timeliness of	22	21.0	28	26.7	5	4.8	2	1.9	0	0.0	48	45.7	105	96.5
response														
Ability of CIS to diagnose problem and quality of software	21	20.0	24	22.9	8	7.6	1	1.0	0	0.0	51	48.6	105	98.1
support														
Courtesy, professionalism, and/or cooperation of CIS	31	29.5	22	21.0	5	4.8	0	0.0	0	0.0	47	44.8	105	100.0
personnel														

INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES	Very	,	Satis	fied	Neutra	al	Dissat	tisfied	Ver	у	N/A		Total	% Not
	Satis	fied							Diss	atisfied				Dissatisfied*
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
OVERALL QUALITY OF COMMUNICATION/ COOPERATION	21	20.0	46	43.8	6	5.7	2	1.9	0	0.0	30	28.6	105	97.3
BETWEEN INSTRUCTIONAL SERVICES and THE REST OF THE														
COLLEGE														

College-Wide

COLLEGE-WIDE	Very		Satis	fied	Ne	utral	Dissa	tisfied	Very		N/A		Total	% Not
	Satis	fied							Dissat	isfied				Dissatisfied*
College-wide efforts to maintain a workforce that is well-qualified to carry out the College's mission and meet its strategic objectives	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Methods currently used to recruit new employees	13	12.5	39	37.5	26	25.0	7	6.7	1	1.0	18	17.3	104	90.7
Quality of benefits and working conditions to retain employees	19	18.3	43	41.3	18	17.3	15	14.4	3	2.9	6	5.8	104	81.6
Quality of technical training and/or educational opportunities for the development of employees	26	25.0	43	41.3	16	15.4	6	5.8	4	3.8	9	8.7	104	89.5
Communication with employees about the salaries paid to employees, and communication of the College's funding issues that affect salaries	15	14.4	34	32.7	28	26.9	13	12.5	2	1.9	12	11.5	104	83.7
Quality of team work and communications within a department and on teams	28	26.9	35	33.7	22	21.2	7	6.7	2	1.9	10	9.6	104	90.4
Communication across campus to help the campus connect and collaborate	18	17.3	33	31.7	21	20.2	18	17.3	6	5.8	8	7.7	104	75.0
Quality of the employee newsletter, Campus Connection	31	29.8	45	43.3	16	15.4	2	1.9	0	0.0	10	9.6	104	97.9

	Very Satis		Satis	fied	Neu	utral	Dissa	tisfied	Very Dissat		N/A			% Not Dissatisfied*
To the extent that funding permits, please rate College efforts to provide and support up-to-date equipment and training for administrative, instructional, and student computer technology needs	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
College efforts to provide for ADMINISTRATIVE computer technology needs	21	20.2	40	38.5	12	11.5	4	3.8	2	1.9	25	24.0	104	92.4
College efforts to provide for INSTRUCTIONAL computer technology needs	12	11.5	23	22.1	14	13.5	8	7.7	5	4.8	42	40.4	104	79.0
College efforts to provide for STUDENT computer technology needs	11	10.6	22	21.2	13	12.5	12	11.5	11	10.6	35	33.7	104	66.7

COLLEGE-WIDE	Very		Satisfied N		Neutral		Dissatisfied		dVery		N/A		Total	% Not
	Satis	Satisfied								Dissatisfied				Dissatisfied*
Institutional Effectiveness (IE) Planning and Assessment	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
The VC Operational Planning & Assessment process (units plans) as	10	9.6	19	18.3	15	14.4	8	7.7	1	1.0	51	49.0	104	83.0
a useful planning, budgeting, and decision making tool														
The VC Strategic Planning process as a useful process of	9	8.7	26	25.0	13	12.5	9	8.7	0	0.0	47	45.2	104	84.2
institutional improvement.														
The VC Facilities Master Planning process as a useful process of	9	8.7	24	23.1	12	11.5	6	5.8	0	0.0	53	51.0	104	88.2
institutional improvement														

COLLEGE-WIDE	Very Satisfied		Satis	fied	Ne	Neutral		Dissatisfied		Very Dissatisfied				% Not Dissatisfied*
Victoria College Quality Enhancement Plan (QEP)	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
What is your level of satisfaction with the information you receive about QEP and its ongoing development?	23	25.3	39	42.9	18	19.8	6	6.6	2	2.2	3	3.3	91	90.9
Are you satisfied with the quality of professional development in active learning and student engagement through the QEP efforts?	24	26.1	31	33.7	19	20.7	5	5.4	5	5.4	8	8.7	92	88.1
Are you satisfied with the number of professional development opportunities available through the QEP efforts?	27	29.3	39	42.4	13	14.1	7	7.6	2	2.2	4	4.3	92	89.8
QEP efforts have been useful in supporting the use of active learning strategies into my position on the VC Campus	21	23.6	31	34.8	18	20.2	5	5.6	5	5.6	9	10.1	89	87.5

COLLEGE-WIDE		Very Satisfied		Satisfied		Neutral		l Dissatisfied		tisfied	N/A d			% Not Dissatisfied*
Leo J. Welder Center for the Performing Arts	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Overall experience with customer service as a member of the audience	34	39.1	35	40.2	5	5.7	1	1.1	1	1.1	11	12.6	87	97.4
Overall experience with the booking process, A/V, lighting and sound services offered to organizers of events	17	23.6	25	34.7	6	8.3	1	1.4	1	1.4	22	30.6	72	96.0
Quality and diversity of items offered in the concessions bar	18	22.5	25	31.3	8	10.0	4	5.0	0	0.0	25	31.3	80	92.7
Courtesy, professionalism and cooperation of staff	39	43.8	33	37.1	6	6.7	3	3.4	0	0.0	8	9.0	89	96.3

COLLEGE-WIDE	Very		Sati	sfied	Neutral		Diss	satisfied	lVery		N/A		Total	% Not
	Satis	Satisfied								tisfied				Dissatisfied*
Museum of the Coastal Bend	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	
Quality and variety of exhibits offered	23	28.8	27	33.8	10	12.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	25.0	80	100.0
Quality and variety of educational/public programs offered	24	30.4	23	29.1	12	15.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	20	25.3	79	100.0
Courtesy, professionalism and cooperation of staff	30	38.0	26	32.9	6	7.6	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	21.5	79	100.0
Have you utilized the museum's tour services for your students, or used the museum's classroom resources?	12	10.9	75	68.2	6	5.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	17	15.5	110	100.0

COLLEGE-WIDE	Yes		No)	No	t Used	Total
Museum of the Coastal Bend	#	%	#	%	#	%	#
Have you utilized the museum's tour services for your students, or used the museum's classroom	12	13.8	75	86.2	0	0.0	87
resources?							

I work in the following capacity at the College:	#	%
Full-time Faculty	39	39.4
Part-Time Faculty	3	3.0
Administrative/Clerical Staff	38	38.4
Physical Plant Staff	4	4.0
Administrative/Mid-Management Staff	15	15.2
Total	99	